1	KELLER/ANDERLE LLP	
2	Jennifer L. Keller (SBN 84412) jkeller@kelleranderle.com	
3	Chase A. Scolnick (SBN 227631)	
4	cscolnick@kelleranderle.com Jay P. Barron (SBN 245654)	
5	jbarron@kelleranderle.com 18300 Von Karman Avenue, Suite 930	
6	Irvine, California 92612	
7	Tel.: (949) 476-8700 Fax: (949) 476-0900	
8	1 ux. ()+) +10 0)00	
9	Attorneys for Defendants KEVIN SPACEY FOWLER and	
10	M. PROFITT PRODUCTIONS, INC.	
11		
12	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
13	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
14 15	JOHN DOE, an individual,) Case No.: 2:19-cv-00750-RSWL (SSx)
16	Plaintiff,) DECLARATION OF JAY P.
17	, and the second) BARRON IN SUPPORT OF
18	VS.	DEFENDANT FOWLER'S EXPARTE APPLICATION FOR AN
19	KEVIN SPACEY FOWLER, an	ORDER TO SET DEADLINES UNDER RULE 26 DUE TO
20	individual, M. PROFITT PRODUCTIONS, INC., a California) PLAINTIFF'S WILLFUL
21	Corporation, and DOES 1-9, inclusive.) REFUSAL TO TIMELY ENGAGE) IN THE REQUIRED RULE 26(f)
22	Defendant.) CONFERENCE
23) [Ex Parte Application and
24) Memorandum of Points and
25) Authorities Filed Concurrently and) [Proposed] Order Lodged
26) Concurrently]
27) Complaint Filed: September 27, 2018
28)

1

4

5

6 7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

- I am an attorney duly admitted to practice in the State of California and in the United States District Court for the Central District of California. I am senior counsel with the law firm Keller/Anderle LLP, counsel of record for Defendants Kevin Spacey Fowler and M. Profitt Productions, Inc. I make this declaration in support of Defendant Fowler's Ex Parte Application For An Order To Set Deadlines Under Rule 26 Due to Plaintiff's Willful Refusal To Timely Engage In Required Rule 26(f) Conference (the "Application"). I have personal knowledge of the information stated below and could testify to it under oath.
- After Mr. Fowler filed a Rule 12 motion regarding the original complaint on February 7, 2019, Plaintiff filed a First Amended Complaint on February 19, 2019. (See ECF Nos. 8, 14.) Like the original complaint, Plaintiff's FAC was filed under a pseudonym even though he had not obtained (or even sought) permission to remain anonymous by the time it was filed.
- Following Plaintiff's filing of the FAC, four motions were filed, all of 3. which are set to be heard on April 16, 2019: (1) Defendants' Rule 12 motion regarding the FAC that concerns Plaintiff's continuing use of a pseudonym, (2) Mr. Fowler's motion for misjoinder of Profitt Productions, (3) Plaintiff's motion to remand due to his attempt to add a non-diverse defendant after removal, and (4) Plaintiff's belated motion for leave to proceed anonymously. (See ECF Nos. 19, 21-23, 26, 30.)
- I had a telephone call with Plaintiff's counsel, Mary Olszewska, on 4. February 22, 2019 to meet and confer about some of the pending motions per Local Rule 7-3. During that call, we briefly discussed other approaching deadlines, and I mentioned that the deadline to conduct the Rule 26(f) conference was approaching in mid-March.
- 5. On March 7, 2019, at 1:11 p.m., I e-mailed Ms. Olszewska to inquire whether she or Plaintiff's lead counsel, Genie Harrison, was available on Monday,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 6. Ms. Olszewska sent me an e-mail regarding another subject later in the day on March 7th but did not address the request to schedule the Rule 26(f) conference. I sent a follow up e-mail on March 8, 2019, at 9:37 a.m. to again inquire about her or Ms. Harrison's availability for the Rule 26(f) conference on the following Monday. Plaintiff's counsel did not respond. Accordingly, I followed up with a call to Ms. Olszewka at approximately 12:30 p.m. on March 8th. I was unable to reach her but left her a voicemail message explaining the reason for my call and the need to schedule the Rule 26(f) conference due to the approaching deadline on the following Monday. Plaintiff's counsel still did not respond. therefore sent another e-mail to Ms. Olszewska at 6:17 p.m. Finally, at 6:33 p.m., Ms. Harrison, who had been copied on this e-mail chain, responded to my e-mail and proposed either March 12th or 13th instead of March 11th. I responded at 6:40 p.m. to reiterate our calculation of March 11th as the statutory deadline, and I asked Ms. Harrison if she was available at a time that day other than the 10:00 a.m. time we had been proposing.
- 7. Ms. Harrison responded the following day, March 9th, at 12:14 p.m., stating for the first time that Plaintiff did not think the Rule 26(f) conference was appropriate at this time. I responded to Ms. Harrison at 1:52 p.m. and informed her of our position that the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure require the Rule 26(f) conference to proceed even though no scheduling conference had been set by the Court, which was one of her stated reasons for not engaging in the conference. I asked Ms. Harrison to reconsider her position or to provide authority that a party could unilaterally delay or defer engaging in a Rule 26(f) conference. Ms. Harrison responded the following day, March 10th, at 1:12 p.m. Her e-mail stated in part: "I have specifically informed defendants that plaintiff will not participate in a Rule 26 conference at this time (1) before any scheduling conference was set by the Court,

1	PROOF OF SERVICE		
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE		
3			
4	I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 18300 Von Karman Avenue, Irvine, California 92612-1057. On March 14, 2019 , I served the foregoing document described as		
5			
6	DECLARATION OF JAY P. BARRON IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT		
7	FOWLER'S EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER TO SET DEADLINES UNDER RULE 26 DUE TO PLAINTIFF'S WILLFUL REFUSAL TO TIMELY ENGAGE IN THE REQUIRED RULE 26(f) CONFERENCE		
8			
9			
10	on the following-listed attorneys who are not on the list to receive e-mail notices for		
11	this case (who therefore require manual notice) by the following means of service:		
12	CEDVED DV II C MAIL: There are currently no individuals on the list to		
13	SERVED BY U.S. MAIL: There are currently no individuals on the list to receive mail notices for this case.		
14			
15	electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system		
16			
17			
18	notices for this case		
19			
20	Genie Harrison, genie@genieharrisonlaw.com		
21	Amber Phillips, amber@genieharrisonlaw.com		
22	Mary Olszewska, mary@genieharrisonlaw.com		
23	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on March 14, 2019 at Irvine, California.		
24			
25			
26	/s/ Courtney L. McKinney		
27	Courtney L. McKinney Courtney L. McKinney		
28			
-0			
	1		
	PROOF OF SERVICE		